

“The United Kingdom and the European Union: Analytical Insights into Brexit’s Internal and External Impacts”

Researcher:

Dr. Samira Fayad

Centre D’Etudes Diplomatique et Stratégiques (CEDS), Paris



Abstract:

Brexit – the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union – marks one of the most trans-formative events in recent British and European political history, carrying far-reaching consequences for governance, economics, foreign policy, and regional integration. This article presents an in-depth analytical study of Brexit's multifaceted impacts, expanding upon the original dissertation structure and extending each section to form a comprehensive and methodologically rigorous 30-page analysis. The research explores both the historical trajectory and institutional dynamics of the UK-EU relationship, tracing Britain's evolving role within the European project from accession in 1973 to eventual withdrawal in 2020. Particular attention is paid to the ideological, economic, and legal foundations of European integration, and the persistent tensions between British exceptionalism and EU supra-nationalism.

The study analyzes the domestic political shifts leading to Brexit, including rising Euro-skepticism, populism, and debates over sovereignty and identity. Prime Minister Boris Johnson's leadership and negotiation strategy are examined in detail, emphasizing how his assertive approach and framing of Brexit as a reclamation of national control shaped both public perception and policy outcomes. Unlike his predecessors, Johnson leveraged constitutional tools and political messaging to push through a hard exit, culminating in the Trade and Cooperation Agreement of December 2020. This section compares Johnson's tactics with those of David Cameron and Theresa May, highlighting the shifting political calculus over the course of the Brexit process.

An essential component of the analysis is the dual crisis posed by Brexit and the COVID-19 pandemic. These events coincided temporally and strategically, with the pandemic exacerbating logistical and economic disruptions already underway due to Brexit. The study investigates how COVID-19 impacted supply chains, labor mobility, trade policy implementation, and public trust in institutions, effectively obscuring or amplifying various dimensions of Brexit's fallout.

The problem of the research is about the complexity and scope of Brexit raise multiple questions and challenges.

The research is structured into two main chapters: the first offers a theoretical and contextual foundation by examining historical milestones, institutional frameworks, and the constitutional logic of EU membership and withdrawal. The second chapter delivers an empirical analysis of Brexit's consequences across multiple domains. Internally, the study examines the UK's economic performance, shifts in public finance, labor market adjustments, migration policy, political polarization, and constitutional tensions among the four UK nations. Externally, it evaluates the EU's response, geopolitical repositioning, and adjustments to policy, security cooperation, and trade norms.

Findings reveal that Brexit has not only transformed the UK's constitutional and economic landscape but has also weakened its global influence and disrupted established regional relationships. Conversely, it has prompted the EU to reconsider its institutional cohesion and long-term integration strategy. The conclusion revisits the central problem statement and research questions, affirming the hypothesis that Brexit's effects are broad, enduring, and deeply embedded in both domestic politics and international relations.

The article concludes by proposing forward-looking recommendations for improving UK-EU relations, mitigating ongoing risks, and enhancing institutional adaptability in a post-Brexit Europe. All insights and claims are supported by contemporary academic literature, official reports, and policy analyses, cited in accordance with APA style. Through structured, critical engagement, this article provides a substantial contribution to the academic understanding of Brexit's lasting legacy.

Introduction

This article presents an analytical overview of the internal and external impacts of Brexit, focusing on the major goals, significance, and research methodologies applied. The study adheres to APA 7th edition guidelines and provides evidence-based findings to inform future academic and policy-oriented discourse on Brexit's multidimensional consequences. The research is structured into two core chapters: the first offers a conceptual and contextual background, while the second presents empirical analysis and findings.

Brexit, the United Kingdom's historic decision to exit the European Union, represents not only a dramatic political shift but also a profound transformation in the nation's governance, international role, and socioeconomic landscape. The referendum

held on June 23, 2016, was the culmination of decades of growing Euro-scepticism within British political discourse and public sentiment. While the outcome—a narrow but decisive 52% majority in favor of leaving the EU—was interpreted as a democratic exercise in sovereignty and self-determination, it has since catalyzed widespread debate and research across disciplines.

The significance of this topic lies in the far-reaching implications of Brexit on national and international levels. Domestically, it has led to political realignments, questions of national identity, and renewed calls for independence from Scotland and Northern Ireland. Internationally, it has triggered a rethinking of regional integration, trade networks, and diplomatic alliances. From an academic perspective, Brexit is a multidimensional phenomenon intersecting political science, international relations, economics, sociology, and law.

The methodology adopted in this study includes a blend of historical analysis, qualitative interpretation of political documents, and secondary data review, ensuring a holistic view of the pre-Brexit environment, the transitional period, and the emerging post-Brexit reality. By analyzing speeches, policy papers, and expert commentaries, the study aims to uncover not just the outcomes but also the motivations and narratives that shaped this pivotal event.

In addition, the study benefits from a comparative lens, considering Brexit in the broader context of global populism and nationalism. This includes examining similar movements and events such as the election of Donald Trump in the United States, the rise of right-wing parties in Europe, and debates over sovereignty in regions like Catalonia. These comparisons help highlight the commonalities and distinctions that define the Brexit phenomenon within the broader zeitgeist of contemporary geopolitics.

This research further contributes to the growing literature on the effectiveness and challenges of supranational institutions. The European Union, since its inception, has been both lauded for fostering peace and criticized for bureaucratic overreach. Brexit forces a reevaluation of the EU's core principles—especially unity in diversity—and whether its institutional structures are sufficiently flexible to accommodate national differences without undermining collective goals.

The practical relevance of this research is evident in the continuing negotiation processes between the UK and the EU on various matters such as trade, immigration, security, and scientific collaboration. Policymakers in both regions, as well as other international actors, can draw lessons from Brexit regarding negotiation strategies, risk management, and the importance of public communication. Moreover, understanding the roots and ramifications of Brexit may inform future decisions in other member states facing internal pressures to reconsider their relationship with the EU.

Furthermore, the role of media and information manipulation in shaping public opinion around Brexit underscores the urgent need for digital literacy and responsible journalism. The involvement of data-driven political campaigning, the spread of misinformation, and the polarization of discourse through social media platforms are all integral to understanding the mechanics of the Brexit vote and its aftermath.

Lastly, this research aims to offer not only critical insights but also constructive recommendations. These include proposals for improving UK-EU cooperation mechanisms post-Brexit, strategies for mitigating economic disruption, and approaches for reinforcing democratic engagement in policy making. The ultimate goal is to provide a balanced, evidence-based analysis that moves beyond partisanship to contribute to informed dialogue and sound policy decisions.

In the chapters that follow, the study begins by establishing the conceptual foundations and historical context of Brexit, followed by a detailed analysis of the outcomes and their significance. By combining theoretical insights with empirical data, this article seeks to provide a comprehensive resource for academics, students, policymakers, and anyone interested in the evolving dynamics of the United Kingdom and the European Union.

Chapter One: Background and Conceptual Framework

1.1 Background and Definitions

Brexit, a blend of the words "British" and "exit," represents a pivotal moment in the political and economic history of the United Kingdom and the European Union. On June 23, 2016, more than 46 million British citizens participated in a national referendum, resulting in a narrow 51.9% majority voting in favor of leaving the EU. This decision had deep and wide-ranging ramifications for domestic and foreign policy, trade, security, and identity politics in the UK and beyond.

Legally, Brexit was enabled by Article 50 of the Treaty on European Union, introduced by the Treaty of Lisbon in 2009. It grants EU member states the right to withdraw voluntarily. The UK triggered Article 50 on March 29, 2017, starting a two-year countdown that culminated in the official withdrawal on January 31, 2020. A transition period followed, ending on December 31, 2020, during which the UK continued to follow EU regulations while both sides negotiated a future relationship.

Historically, Britain's relationship with European integration was cautious and often adversarial. Having joined the European Economic Community (EEC) in 1973, the UK frequently sought opt-outs from major EU initiatives such as the Schengen Area and the euro currency. These actions reflected a broader sentiment of Euro-scepticism rooted in British political discourse and public opinion, emphasizing national sovereignty, legal independence, and control over borders.

Several fundamental issues drove the decision to leave:

- **Sovereignty:** Many British citizens believed that EU membership diluted the UK Parliament's authority, especially due to the influence of EU laws and the European Court of Justice.
- **Immigration:** The principle of free movement raised concerns about immigration levels, pressure on public services, and national identity.
- **Economic Contributions:** The UK's significant financial contributions to the EU budget were seen as disproportionate and unfair, especially when contrasted with the perceived benefits received in return.
- **Democratic Deficit:** EU institutions were criticized for lacking direct accountability to British voters, fueling perceptions of bureaucratic overreach.

Added to these internal issues were external pressures such as the Eurozone debt crisis, the migration crisis of 2015–2016, and the rise of populist and nationalist movements across Europe. Political actors like Nigel Farage, Boris Johnson, and the UK Independence Party (UKIP) capitalized on these sentiments, with aggressive campaigns often bolstered by data-driven targeting techniques allegedly employed by firms such as Cambridge Analytical.

Comparative precedent also supported the legitimacy of withdrawal: Greenland, a part of Denmark, successfully left the European Community in 1985 after a 1981 referendum. This case demonstrated the feasibility of departure under Article 50, though with much smaller global impact.

The Brexit campaign was also shaped by the perception of a shift in global economic power. Analysts anticipated a restructuring of international trade and geopolitical alliances by 2030. The UK aimed to reposition itself to capitalize on emerging markets, particularly in Africa, India, and China. Strategic thinkers argued that leaving the EU would allow the UK to strike new bilateral trade deals and forge closer ties with countries like the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand.

1.2 Statement of the Problem, Research Questions, and Justification

The complexity and scope of Brexit raise multiple questions and challenges. This study seeks to understand the causes and consequences of Brexit within the broader context of British domestic politics and its evolving international role. Key questions include:

- What socio-economic and political factors motivated the UK to pursue Brexit?
- How has Brexit altered the UK's role in global and regional politics?
- What are the direct and indirect effects on trade, security, migration, and governance?

The justification for this research lies in Brexit's trans-formative implications for international relations, EU integration, and the rise of nationalism in Western democracies. Brexit is more than a policy choice—it is a symbol of a global trend challenging multilateralism, interdependence, and supranational governance.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to dissect Brexit's origins, rationales, and repercussions through a multidisciplinary lens. The research objectives include:

1. To examine the root causes of Brexit, including economic imbalances, sociopolitical grievances, and external interventions.
2. To analyze the internal transformations within the UK, particularly in relation to public discourse, policy making, and national identity.
3. To assess the external implications, especially for the EU's coherence, global power structure, and security architecture.
4. To explore the compounded effects of concurrent crises such as COVID-19 on the UK's post-Brexit recovery and governance capacities.
5. To evaluate bilateral and multilateral agreements replacing or supplementing EU frameworks (e.g., trade deals with the US, Australia, and Asia-Pacific nations).

1.4 Political Leadership and Institutional Responses

One of the critical elements influencing the Brexit process was the leadership transition in the UK government. Former Prime Ministers David Cameron, Theresa May, and Boris Johnson each played a central role at different stages. Cameron's decision to hold the referendum in 2016 was influenced by growing Euro-scepticism within the Conservative Party and among voters. His resignation following the referendum ushered in Theresa May, whose tenure was marked by challenges in securing parliamentary approval for the withdrawal agreement.

Boris Johnson, succeeding May in July 2019, pursued a more assertive Brexit strategy, promising to "Get Brexit Done." His leadership helped pass the revised withdrawal agreement, but also triggered criticism for sidelining parliamentary oversight and ignoring devolved administrations in Scotland and Northern Ireland. These dynamics reflected a broader institutional strain on the UK's democratic processes, particularly as Brexit magnified divisions between the executive and legislative branches.

1.5 Impact on Devolved Governments

Brexit significantly affected the UK's internal constitutional arrangements, especially in Scotland and Northern Ireland. Both regions voted overwhelmingly to remain in the EU—62% in Scotland and 56% in Northern Ireland—yet were compelled to leave due to the UK-wide result. This has intensified calls for a second Scottish independence referendum, with the Scottish National Party (SNP) arguing that Brexit fundamentally alters Scotland's relationship with the UK.

In Northern Ireland, Brexit raised the specter of renewed sectarian tensions. The Northern Ireland Protocol, which created a de facto customs border in the Irish Sea, was designed to avoid a hard border with the Republic of Ireland and protect the Good Friday Agreement. However, unionist communities have expressed deep opposition to the protocol, seeing it as a threat to Northern Ireland's status within the UK. As such, Brexit has reignited debates about the future unity of the United Kingdom.

1.6 The Role of Media and Public Opinion

Media narratives played a decisive role in shaping public perception during the referendum campaign. The Leave campaign successfully leveraged populist messages, anti-immigration rhetoric, and nationalistic sentiment to appeal to a broad base of voters. Tabloids like *The Sun*, *The Daily Mail*, and *The Express* frequently ran headlines that emphasized EU bureaucracy, loss of control, and immigration fears.

Meanwhile, social media emerged as a powerful tool for influencing voter behavior. Investigations into Cambridge Analytical revealed the extent of psychological profiling, micro-targeting, and data harvesting used to sway undecided voters. Disinformation, fake news, and algorithmic amplification created an echo chamber environment that reinforced ideological divides. These developments raise important questions about electoral integrity, digital governance, and democratic accountability in the digital age.

1.7 Global Reactions and Strategic Implications

The international response to Brexit has been varied. The United States under the Trump administration viewed Brexit as a validation of nationalist and protectionist policies. President Trump openly supported the Leave campaign and later encouraged a swift UK-US trade deal. Other global actors, including China, Russia, and the Commonwealth nations, have re calibrated their foreign policy toward Britain in light of its new status outside the EU.

For the EU, Brexit triggered an urgent reassessment of its institutional resilience. Fears of a “domino effect” led to reforms aimed at deepening integration among remaining member states. At the same time, Brexit has tested the EU’s capacity to negotiate with non-member countries and manage its external borders. The outcome of the UK-EU negotiations has set a precedent for how future exits—if any—might unfold.

1.8 Conclusion

Chapter One has outlined the background, legal framework, and key drivers behind Brexit, while introducing the core research questions and objectives guiding this study. The chapter also expanded on political leadership, internal constitutional impacts, and the influence of digital media and foreign actors. These foundational insights serve as a launchpad for the following chapters, which will delve deeper into empirical findings and sector-specific impacts of Brexit on trade, migration, security, and governance.

Chapter Two: Data Analysis and Empirical Findings (Condensed to ~1200 Words)

2.1 Economic Repercussions

Brexit has reshaped the UK economy, especially in trade, investment, public finance, and labor markets. After the 2016 referendum, economic forecasts predicted negative outcomes under WTO trade terms. Indeed, the Office for Budget Responsibility confirmed that the UK economy is smaller than it would have been within the EU. The main drivers include decreased trade volume and business investment.

Trade suffered due to customs formalities and the loss of access to the EU single market. UK exports to the EU, particularly in automotive, pharmaceutical, and food sectors, declined after 2021. SMEs were hardest hit, facing bureaucratic hurdles and compliance costs. According to the British Chambers of Commerce, 60% of SMEs reported challenges under the new rules.

Foreign direct investment also fell. The UK, once a gateway to the EU market, became less attractive to international investors. Firms relocated headquarters and assets to maintain EU market access. Financial institutions moved over £1 trillion in assets to cities like Frankfurt and Paris. This shift weakened London's financial dominance and increased operating costs due to dual regulatory systems.

Public finances have been strained. Despite no longer contributing to the EU budget, Brexit-related economic slowdown reduced tax revenues. The Institute for Fiscal Studies estimates the UK economy is about £30 billion smaller than projected, limiting government spending capacity. Promises to reinvest EU budget savings into the NHS were constrained by rising inflation and ongoing fiscal pressure.

Brexit ended free movement of labor, prompting labor shortages in sectors like agriculture, hospitality, and healthcare. The new points-based immigration system did not sufficiently replace the inflow of low-skilled EU workers. The number of EU nationals working in the UK declined sharply, leading to service gaps and production delays.

Though wages have risen in some sectors, inflation and housing costs have negated these gains. Productivity growth has also slowed. The departure from EU research programs and markets limited innovation opportunities and economies of scale. The Centre for Economic Performance found Brexit-related trade friction reduced firm productivity due to higher costs and reduced exports.

While some businesses adapted by diversifying supply chains or entering new markets, the overall net benefit of new trade deals with countries like Australia and Japan remains modest compared to lost EU access. Higher education and legal services have also been affected, with universities losing Erasmus+ participation and seeing fewer EU student enrollments.

2.2 Social and Political Repercussions

Socially, Brexit intensified divisions within British society. The referendum split the electorate along educational, generational, and regional lines. Older, rural, and less formally educated voters favored Leave, while younger, urban, and university-educated individuals supported Remain. This divide became cultural, shaping identities and social cohesion.

Post-referendum, hate crimes and xenophobic incidents increased, and EU nationals in the UK expressed growing insecurity. The government's tough immigration stance under the "hostile environment" policy worsened these sentiments. Public trust in institutions eroded amid political gridlock and prolonged negotiations.

Politically, Brexit triggered leadership changes and party realignments. David Cameron and Theresa May both resigned. Boris Johnson's "Get Brexit Done" slogan won the 2019 election, shifting Conservative support to working-class regions previously loyal to Labour. Labour, torn between pro-Remain urban centers and Eurosceptic heartlands, lost coherence and seats.

Smaller parties also adjusted. The Liberal Democrats pushed a pro-EU message, while the SNP and Sinn Féin used Brexit to further independence and reunification agendas. In Scotland, Brexit strengthened the case for a second independence referendum. Scotland voted 62% to remain, and the SNP argues that EU withdrawal altered its relationship with the UK fundamentally.

Northern Ireland faces a unique situation. To avoid a hard border with the Republic of Ireland, the Northern Ireland Protocol keeps the region aligned with EU market rules. Unionists oppose the resulting Irish Sea customs barrier, claiming it separates them from the UK. Tensions have disrupted the Stormont assembly and revived discussions on Irish unity.

England has seen a rise in English nationalism. A growing segment of the population identifies primarily as English, not British, and seeks devolved governance. This development challenges the current UK constitutional model and could lead to demands for English-only policies or institutions.

Brexit also impacted international relations. "Global Britain" seeks to redefine the UK's global role, but critics note diminished soft power and reduced influence. Exclusion from EU decision-making and security frameworks has limited Britain's reach. Relationships with France and Ireland, in particular, have experienced diplomatic strain.

Domestically, Brexit expanded executive authority. Use of Henry VIII clauses and reduced parliamentary scrutiny raised concerns about democratic norms. The concentration of power in the Prime Minister's Office and expedited legislation signaled a shift toward centralized governance.

Civil society became more polarized. Pro-Remain groups like “People’s Vote” mobilized mass protests, while Leave advocates demanded rapid withdrawal. While both sides engaged in democratic activism, the tone was often confrontational, reflecting the wider societal rift.

2.3 Security and Intelligence Cooperation

Security cooperation between the UK and EU has been significantly reduced. The UK lost access to tools like SIS II, ECRIS, and the European Arrest Warrant, weakening real-time data sharing and streamlined extradition. Law enforcement now relies on slower and less efficient alternatives, such as the 1957 European Convention on Extradition.

Loss of ECRIS complicates background checks, immigration vetting, and judicial coordination. Meanwhile, the European Commission granted the UK a temporary data adequacy ruling to allow continued information exchange. However, this status could be revoked if UK data laws diverge from EU standards.

The UK retains strong intelligence capabilities through the Five Eyes alliance with the US, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. Though not an EU replacement, this network supports cyber and counter terrorism efforts. The UK has also signed bilateral security pacts with France, Germany, and others, focusing on policing and intelligence collaboration.

Yet, the UK is now a third-country participant in Europol, lacking decision-making power and full database access. Its influence in shaping EU security policies has diminished. Cooperation remains, but lacks the previous efficiency and legal backing.

The Northern Ireland Protocol poses security concerns, particularly regarding smuggling and organized crime. An open border with Ireland complicates customs enforcement and necessitates greater coordination with Irish authorities.

In cyber security, the UK has withdrawn from ENISA and related programs, reducing involvement in EU cyber policy development. Although the UK maintains domestic cyber security strength through the National Cyber Security Centre, its absence from EU forums limits coordinated response capabilities.

To compensate, the UK has increased investment in domestic intelligence infrastructure, expanded funding for MI5 and MI6, and prioritized encryption and surveillance technologies. Still, duplicating former EU collaborations is expensive and less effective.

2.4 COVID-19 and Brexit: A Dual Crisis

Brexit and COVID-19 overlapped, compounding their economic and political effects. The pandemic disrupted global supply chains, while Brexit introduced trade friction, customs delays, and regulatory divergence. Sectors relying on just-in-time logistics, like food and automotive, experienced acute disruption.

Labor shortages worsened as EU workers returned home and didn’t come back. Both crises squeezed labor markets, especially in agriculture, transportation, and health care. Government efforts to replace lost labor with temporary visas or training programs had limited success.

Investment declined as businesses hesitated due to dual uncertainties. Regional disparities widened, particularly in economically weaker areas. Many small businesses, especially those unfamiliar with export compliance, struggled with Brexit transition requirements while managing pandemic-induced revenue losses.

The UK’s pandemic response absorbed governmental focus and resources, delaying full Brexit implementation. Simultaneously, “Global Britain” ambitions faltered amid travel restrictions and limited international engagement.

While the UK's rapid vaccine rollout was viewed as a Brexit benefit, the country's exclusion from EU research and procurement programs had drawbacks. As the health emergency subsided, Brexit's longer-term structural issues, such as trade complexity and labor gaps, became more visible.

Public perceptions were shaped by both crises. Early Brexit effects were obscured by COVID-19, but as normalcy returned, concerns about economic and logistical fallout gained attention. The compounded crises also heightened identity debates and institutional trust issues.

In conclusion, Brexit and COVID-19 jointly challenged the UK's economy, governance, and social fabric. Their combined impacts have altered the country's trajectory, requiring adaptable, evidence-based policy responses. Understanding Brexit in the context of these overlapping crises is crucial for navigating future challenges and building a more resilient society.

Conclusion

Brexit has been a trans-formative event for the United Kingdom and the European Union, reshaping political structures, economic relations, and international alignments. In confronting our central problematic – how Brexit has affected the UK internally and the EU externally – the analysis confirms that Brexit's impacts are profound and multi-dimensional. Internally, the UK has witnessed a reassertion of certain aspects of sovereignty but also internal strains on its union and governance; externally, the EU has weathered the loss of a member, adapting in ways that both mitigate damage and exploit new opportunities.

To directly answer the research questions: historical factors (UK's ambivalence to deep integration, rising Euro-skepticism, and specific triggers like the migration crisis and UKIP's rise) set the stage for Brexit. The domestic political impact includes a fundamental realignment of British politics along Leave/Remain lines, a stark example being Boris Johnson's consolidation of power to deliver Brexit where his predecessor failed, illustrating how leadership style and mobilization of institutional power were decisive (Brusenbauch Meislová & Bujard, 2025). The expected hypothesis that Johnson's tactics would yield a harder but cleaner Brexit proved accurate – the UK opted for a relatively hard break, accepting short-term economic costs for long-term autonomy. Meanwhile, domestic governance experienced both a reclamation of legislative powers from Brussels and novel tensions, as seen in the robust role of the judiciary and devolved administrations in the Brexit process.

Economically, as hypothesized, there have been negative repercussions for the UK's performance – trade has not rebounded to pre-Brexit trends, investment has been lukewarm, and specific industries face new frictions (Office for Budget Responsibility, 2021). While it will take years to fully tally Brexit's economic ledger, early indicators support the expectation that the UK will be somewhat poorer than it would have been inside the EU (at least in the medium term), aligning with our hypothesis of adverse internal economic impact. That said, Brexit's promised benefits such as an independent trade policy are being actively pursued – the UK has secured deals and initiatives under Global Britain – but their net effect is likely smaller than the foregone EU integration benefits, consistent with economists' consensus.

Socially, Brexit has left the UK divided but perhaps gradually healing; the hypothesis that Brexit would intensify internal division was true in the immediate years (e.g., family splits, hate crime upticks), but by 2023 there are signs of political debate moving on, even if identity labels linger. Constitutionally, the hypothesis that Brexit would strain the UK's union has been borne out: Scotland is edging closer to a potential second independence bid, and Northern Ireland's status is more complex than before – both developments confirming that “taking back control” nationally has paradoxically loosened control over the country's own cohesion.

For the European Union, our hypothesis that Brexit would pose a challenge but prompt adaptive reforms holds true. The EU has maintained unity, prevented contagion, and even taken steps toward more integration in certain fields, thereby strengthening itself in some respects (Krotz & Schramm, 2022). The idea that Brexit would fatally weaken the EU has not materialized; instead, it galvanized the EU-27 around common purpose, validating the hypothesis that the EU's cohesion and willingness to protect the Single Market and project were underestimated by the UK (Wachowiak, 2020). That said, Brexit was certainly a loss for the EU: it lost a major contributor, a defense heavyweight, and a champion of enlargement and liberal economics. The EU has had to recalibrate balances (e.g., France's influence arguably grew relatively), and budget contributions shifted among members – adjustments that the EU managed with resilience, aligning with our view that the EU would absorb the shock albeit with effort.

A surprising element was the COVID-19 factor, which we hypothesized would complicate Brexit – indeed it did, by compressing timelines and mixing effects. This was an exogenous shock no one predicted in 2016, yet by chance Brexit's final chapter coincided with a once-in-a-century pandemic, making 2020 a doubly historic year for the UK and EU. The analysis confirmed that COVID-19 strained the Brexit process and obscured some of its outcomes (Harvey, 2021), but also perhaps softened public confrontation over Brexit by shifting immediate priorities. Both the UK and EU had to triage crisis management with Brexit management, and in retrospective evaluation, one might say Brexit was executed in less-than-ideal conditions, making some of its initial turbulence harder to disentangle from pandemic effects.

Answering the research questions holistically: Brexit internally has meant a reorientation of UK policy, law, and identity – reclaiming legislative powers, ending free movement, and embarking on a new economic course at the price of initial disruptions and a possible diminished of the UK's union. Externally, Brexit has required the EU to consolidate and innovate – it has done so to a notable degree, preserving its integrity and even seizing the moment to implement policies (like joint debt issuance for recovery) that might have been impossible with the UK at the table. Internationally, the UK and EU are now forging separate paths but remain interlinked partners compelled by geography and values to cooperate (as seen in the joint stance on Ukraine, or the pragmatic adjustments in the NI Protocol via the Windsor Framework).

In light of the evidence, the initial hypothesis holds: Brexit brought significant internal challenges for the UK (political division, economic cost, constitutional friction) and external challenges for the EU (needing to maintain unity and fill the void), but both the UK and EU have also demonstrated adaptability. The UK has leveraged new freedoms to pursue global trade deals and regulatory changes, and the EU has closed ranks and continued to advance its project without a domino effect.

Brexit's story is not finished. Its long-term success or failure will depend on how the UK uses its independence (does it achieve the innovation and global agility it seeks?) and how the EU evolves (can it reform to address citizens' needs better without the UK?). Already, Brexit has prompted reflection on both sides of the Channel. In the UK, there are ongoing debates about how to maximize the benefits of Brexit or whether to inch back closer to the EU in certain areas (short of rejoining). In the EU, Brexit has served as a sobering reminder to listen to citizen concerns and an impetus to demonstrate the value of membership.

Brexit stands as a pivotal reconfiguration of European order. Internally, it has redefined British politics and policy, confirmed some expectations of regained control while revealed new vulnerabilities. Externally, it has tested the European Union's cohesion and found it resilient. Both the UK and EU are charting new courses: the UK as a fully sovereign actor attempting to be "Global Britain," and the EU as a more tight-knit federation of 27. Their futures will continue to be intertwined, and the ultimate legacy of Brexit will depend on whether the UK thrives under its new arrangements and whether the EU continues to find strength in unity without the UK. What is certain is that the Brexit saga has provided numerous analytical insights – into democratic processes, negotiation dynamics, and the complexities of unwinding integration – that will occupy scholars and policymakers for decades to come.

In conclusion and Recommendations, Brexit has fundamentally reshaped the UK's internal and external frameworks. While it offers new avenues for sovereignty and policy innovation, it also introduces long-term economic and geopolitical challenges. The following recommendations are proposed:

1. Develop bilateral cooperation frameworks with the EU, particularly in trade, health, and security.
2. Invest in regional development to mitigate internal economic disparities.
3. Reassess migration policies to address labor shortages and demographic challenges.
4. Strengthen financial governance and innovation to maintain competitiveness in a post-Brexit global order.

Key Findings

- Brexit has fundamentally altered UK governance and politics: Parliament and courts took on unprecedented roles to navigate Brexit, reflecting a clash and balance between direct democracy (referendum mandate) and representative institutions. Brexit realigned political loyalties, collapsing traditional party divides in favor of a new cleavage based on attitudes to globalization and identity (Leave vs. Remain). Boris Johnson's success in "getting Brexit done" highlighted how assertive leadership and electoral mandate can overcome institutional gridlock ([Why Boris Johnson succeeded where Theresa May failed in the politics of Brexit - British Politics and Policy at LSE](#)).

- Constitutional unity of the UK is under strain: The divergent referendum results in Scotland and Northern Ireland have translated into renewed pushes for Scottish independence and complications in Northern Ireland's status. Brexit, intended to strengthen UK sovereignty, paradoxically fueled secessionist sentiments – e.g., Scotland's government cites Brexit as grounds for a new independence referendum, and Northern Ireland's Protocol keeps it partially aligned with the EU, straining the union with Great Britain.
- Economic impacts on the UK are largely negative in the short-to-medium term: The UK economy has faced increased trade costs with its largest trading partner (EU), leading to reduced trade volumes and sector-specific challenges, particularly in industries dependent on seamless EU market access (manufacturing, agri-food). Investment has been deterred by uncertainty and market shrinkage. Official forecasts and independent analyses concur that UK GDP will be modestly lower in the coming years than it would have been without Brexit, on the order of several percentage points (OBR, 2021). Supply chain disruptions and labor shortages in certain sectors (e.g., haulage, food processing) were exacerbated by Brexit, especially when combined with pandemic effects.
- Brexit's promised opportunities are real but limited so far: The UK has utilized its new freedoms to negotiate trade agreements (with Australia, New Zealand, Japan, and CPTPP accession) and to craft an independent immigration system. However, the economic gains from these deals are, by the government's own analysis, modest, and not sufficient to offset lost EU trade ([Why Boris Johnson won't agree to a Brexit extension](#)). Regulatory divergence has been minimal initially – the UK mirrored EU rules to ensure continuity, though it is reviewing retained EU laws for potential repeal. Thus, while the UK has policy flexibility, it has exercised it cautiously in many domains, seeking stability and EU equivalence (for instance, maintaining similar financial regulations to preserve market access). The real test of Brexit's opportunity side will come in the longer term if the UK uses its agility to pioneer beneficial innovations in law, trade, or technology.
- The European Union retained cohesion and even strengthened integration in some areas: Contrary to fears of an EU unraveling, no other member state followed the UK out. Eurosceptic movements in Europe lost momentum as the complications of Brexit became evident. The EU 27 showed strong unity through the negotiations, standing behind Ireland and insisting on a fair but firm deal that protected the Single Market's integrity (Wachowiak, 2020). Internally, the EU adjusted to Brexit by moving toward greater self-reliance: launching a major COVID recovery fund financed by joint debt (something the UK likely would have opposed), and pursuing more assertive policies in defense and digital regulation. The EU's power center tilted slightly – France and Germany became even more pivotal without the UK's counterweight, and smaller states lost a vocal ally on liberal economic issues – but the EU continues to function and even expand (with countries like Ukraine and the Balkans looking to join, ironically showing the EU's pull remains strong).
- Brexit required new UK-EU arrangements without replicating membership benefits: The Withdrawal Agreement and Trade Cooperation Agreement together create a framework that is far less integrated than EU membership. While they prevented the most disruptive scenarios (no legal cliff for citizens' rights, no tariffs on goods trade), they introduced significant friction in UK-EU relations: customs borders, regulatory checks, exclusion of the UK from EU institutions and many cooperative programs (except by special agreement), and loss of freedom of movement. The Northern Ireland Protocol stands out as a novel solution keeping one foot of the UK in the EU structure, and continues to require careful management (the need for the 2023 Windsor Framework adjustments underscores that these arrangements are complex and sensitive). The UK-EU relationship post-Brexit is cooperative but more distant and formal, reliant on treaties rather than shared institutions, which means ongoing diplomacy is needed to resolve issues as they arise.
- The COVID-19 pandemic significantly intertwined with Brexit's final phase: COVID both impeded Brexit negotiations (forcing them online, compressing timelines) and masked some initial effects of Brexit. The UK's refusal to extend the transition in the face of COVID was a risky political choice that ultimately forced both sides to negotiate under extreme pressure ([Brexit and COVID-19 by Darren Harvey :: SSRN](#)). The pandemic's economic shock made it challenging to isolate Brexit's impact, but as those effects become clearer, it's evident that Brexit added an extra layer of shock to an economy already in crisis. This concurrence also showed the importance of flexibility: for example, the UK delaying import checks was essentially an admission that dealing with pandemic fallout took priority over enforcing new trade rules.
- Global Britain is a work in progress; UK's global role has shifted rather than shrunk entirely: Freed from EU trade policy, the UK is carving a niche as an independent trading nation with moderate success so far (CPTPP entry being a highlight). In foreign policy, the UK continues to exert considerable influence (e.g., NATO, G7, UN) and has aligned closely with Western allies on major issues (Russia, climate). However, outside the EU, the UK sometimes finds itself in tricky positions (balancing between the US and EU, as seen in the AUKUS vs. France episode). The UK's soft power remains robust, but Brexit did cause some reputational damage in parts of the world. Much depends

on how the UK leverages its independence to reinforce international norms and partnerships—early indications like AUKUS and active Ukraine support show it can lead in specific arenas, but it also must manage relationships with the EU to avoid isolation in its own region.

These findings collectively portray Brexit as an event that has indeed delivered the sovereignty and policy autonomy the UK sought, but at a considerable cost and with far-reaching consequences. The UK's challenge ahead is to convert that autonomy into tangible benefits to justify the costs incurred, while preserving its internal unity. The EU's challenge is to continue adapting and addressing its citizens' needs to ensure no revival of exit desires elsewhere, and to foster a positive partnership with the UK despite the separation. Brexit's ultimate success or failure will be measured not just by GDP numbers or trade flows, but by whether the UK and EU can thrive in their new relationship and whether the citizens of both feel that their interests and identities are respected in the post-Brexit order.

Proposals

In light of the above analysis and key findings, several forward-looking proposals emerge to address challenges and maximize opportunities stemming from Brexit:

- 1. Rebuild UK-EU Cooperative Mechanisms: While Brexit resulted in separation, there remains much to gain from structured cooperation. It is proposed that the UK and EU negotiate sector-specific agreements or forum arrangements to enhance collaboration in areas of mutual interest:
 - *Security and Foreign Policy:* Establish a formal UK-EU strategic dialogue (perhaps an annual summit and working groups) on foreign policy and security issues. This could institutionalize the kind of ad-hoc coordination seen in crises like Ukraine, ensuring the UK can contribute to EU discussions from the outside and vice versa. Exploring a UK association with EU defense projects (through the European Defence Agency or PESCO) on a case-by-case basis could strengthen collective security (London should remain open to contributing capabilities to EU missions where beneficial).
 - *Research and Education:* Finalize the UK's association to Horizon Europe (the EU's research funding program) and Erasmus+ (or its successor) for educational exchange. These were areas where leaving created a clear loss for both sides' scientific and youth communities. The UK should invest in rejoining or closely mirroring such programs, boosting its R&D and offering young people exchange opportunities (the UK's own Turing Scheme could complement, but EU association would add value). The EU in turn should welcome UK talents in collaborative projects, recognizing the win-win nature.
 - *Regulatory Cooperation:* To reduce trade frictions, set up joint consultative committees that allow UK regulators and EU regulators to share information and possibly strive for equivalence in key sectors (e.g., pharmaceuticals, chemicals, financial services). While the UK may not align in all areas, mutual recognition of standards where feasible can ease business costs. For instance, negotiating an agreement on Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) measures (food safety standards) could greatly facilitate agri-food trade and also help address Northern Ireland trade issues. As a proposal, the UK could choose to align with certain EU SPS rules (without calling it dynamic alignment formally) in exchange for simplified checks, balancing sovereignty with practical trade facilitation.
- 2. Address Internal UK Unity with a Flexible Constitutional Approach: The government in Westminster should actively work to accommodate the distinct needs of Scotland, Northern Ireland, and Wales in the post-Brexit context:
 - *Scotland:* As outright independence is a contentious path; an alternative proposal is to pursue a form of "Differentiated Integration" for Scotland within the UK. This could involve granting Scotland greater autonomy to foster links with the EU (for example, cooperation in areas like university partnerships or even a say in how EU laws that affect devolved competences are handled in Scotland). At minimum, Westminster should involve the Scottish Government in consultations on any future EU-related decisions that touch devolved matters (a mechanism to replace the now-defunct Joint Ministerial Committee (EU) could be established). Such inclusion may not satisfy all demands for another referendum, but it would demonstrate respect for Scotland's vote and potentially reduce grievances.
 - *Northern Ireland:* Fully implementing and refining the Windsor Framework is crucial to ensure Northern Ireland reaps "the best of both worlds" (access to EU market and UK market) while minimizing feeling of separation from Great Britain. The UK government should invest in Northern Irish ports and digital

systems to make Protocol-related processes as invisible as possible to businesses and consumers. It should also engage with all NI communities to explain and improve the arrangements. In tandem, fostering North-South cooperation on the island (through the North-South Ministerial Council under the Good Friday Agreement) can bolster the all-Ireland economy in ways that benefit NI residents, hopefully reducing the zero-sum perception. Essentially, make the Protocol an economic boon for NI to lessen its politicization. Westminster might also consider special status for Northern Ireland's voice – for instance, allowing NI ministers observer status in relevant EU meetings (when EU laws for the single market that NI applies are discussed) could address the “democratic deficit” complaint.

- *Wales and English Regions*: Although Wales voted Leave, it also lost EU regional funds and opportunities. The UK should ensure its Shared Prosperity Fund truly replaces and ideally exceeds former EU development funds, with devolved input on spending priorities. More broadly, a constitutional convention after Brexit could be convened to explore how the UK center and regions/devolved governments can function better (Brexit revealed flaws in intergovernmental relations). Considering reforms like a stronger upper chamber representing devolved interests or clearer division of powers could help avoid future friction.
- 3. Economic Adjustment and Competitiveness Strategy: To counteract Brexit-related economic downsides, the UK must be proactive in leveraging strengths and assisting sectors in transition:
 - *Comprehensive Industrial Strategy*: Develop a targeted industrial strategy focusing on sectors where the UK can be globally competitive outside the EU. This includes high-tech manufacturing, green energy, pharmaceuticals, and creative industries. Use the flexibility on state aid to judiciously support these sectors (within the limits of the TCA to avoid disputes). For example, a Green Innovation Fund could incentivize development of electric vehicle supply chains or renewable energy tech in the UK, offsetting any investment drop from European companies.
 - *Skills and Labor Mobility Solutions*: Address labor shortages in critical sectors by adjusting immigration policy pragmatically. For instance, introduce sector-based visas or expanded youth mobility schemes with EU countries to ensure seasonal agriculture, hospitality, and social care have sufficient workers. Invest heavily in domestic skills training simultaneously (e.g., agricultural tech training, HGV driver training programs with subsidies) to reduce dependence on migration in the long term. This dual approach respects the Brexit mandate on controlling immigration while recognizing economic realities.
 - *SME Support for Trade*: Many small and medium enterprises (SMEs) have struggled with new export procedures. The government should establish a permanent Brexit Adjustment Assistance Scheme – providing grants or tax breaks for SMEs to get professional advice, invest in new IT systems for customs paperwork, or explore alternative markets. A one-stop help portal (expanding on existing ones) staffed by trade experts could guide businesses through red tape. Making trade with the EU as simple as possible (even if inherently more complex than pre-Brexit) is key to sustaining SME exports.
- 4. EU Reform and Engagement (External Proposal for the EU): From the EU side, one lesson of Brexit is the need to address citizens' concerns proactively. The EU should continue reforms in areas that were problematic for UK public opinion (and might be for others):
 - *Subsidiarity and Democratic Voice*: Strengthen the role of national parliaments in EU decision-making – for example, by making it easier for a “red card” (a bloc of national parliaments) to send back proposals for reconsideration. This was something Cameron negotiated but it can be extended EU-wide to show that Brussels listens to member state democracies. While the UK won't benefit directly, it serves the EU's interest in preventing future Brexit-like discontent.
 - *Migration Policy*: Tackle the perception of uncontrolled migration by finalizing a coherent EU migration and asylum policy that balances responsibility and solidarity. Brexit debates were heavily influenced by intra-EU migration (and broader migration fears). The EU should reform free movement with safeguards (e.g., ensuring better integration and support for high-migration communities across the EU) and address external border security to maintain public confidence. Although the UK is out, the issue of immigration remains central in European politics and thus addressing it will strengthen the EU internally and in its standing with neighbors.
 - *Future UK Relationship (long term)*: The EU should keep the door open for a closer relationship with the UK if political winds in Britain change. Without compromising core principles, the EU could propose an “Association Agreement” framework in the future that offers the UK a menu of cooperation—essentially a pathway for incremental rapprochement (short of full membership unless the UK one day seeks it). This might include provisions for single market access for specific sectors if UK alignment is met, or even a

security pact. Essentially, healing the relationship might involve a new form of partnership that respects the referendum's outcome but recognizes geographical and cultural proximity. This is a long-term proposal and depends on UK politics, but the EU signaling openness to a deeper partnership (conditional on UK readiness) could help pro-EU voices in the UK and ensure that both sides can capitalize on their close ties.

- 5. Public Communication and Education: A softer, yet vital proposal is for the UK and EU to invest in public education about the new realities:
 - In the UK, the government and civil society should promote awareness of what the trade deal entails, what rights people have lost or gained, and how to utilize new programs (for example, the government should clearly communicate to businesses about the specifics of new trade agreements and how to use them, and to students about study abroad options in the absence of Erasmus). This can mitigate confusion and disinformation that still persist in public discourse.
 - In the EU, public communication focusing on what the EU has done to address Brexit lessons (e.g., showing how EU is reforming itself and how it values each member) could strengthen unity and prevent misperceptions that fueled UK-style Euroscepticism. Moreover, highlighting the continuing friendship with the UK—through cultural exchanges, twin city programs, etc.—will maintain grassroots connections, which is important should political relations warm in the future.

These proposals aim to *bridge divides* and *build on opportunities*. For the UK, the emphasis is on pragmatic steps to smooth Brexit's rough edges (economically and constitutionally) and to capitalize on sovereignty in a way that delivers prosperity. For the EU, proposals focus on learning from Brexit to improve the union and remain open-hearted toward the UK. Ultimately, the goal is to ensure that Brexit, though a break, becomes a platform for a new equilibrium rather than a permanent source of antagonism. As the UK and EU move forward, implementing such measures could help transform Brexit from a zero-sum divorce into a basis for a different but cooperative relationship, and ensure stability and well-being for people on both sides of the Channel.

References

- Brusenbauch Meislová, M., & Bujard, B. (2025, January 29). *Why Boris Johnson succeeded where Theresa May failed in the politics of Brexit*. LSE British Politics and Policy. Retrieved from London School of Economics website.
- Dhar, S., & Sampson, T. (2022). *Brexit and the UK economy: Early evidence*. London School of Economics (CEP Brexit Analysis No. 16).
- Evans, G., & Menon, A. (2017). *Brexit and British Politics*. Polity Press.
- Foundation for European Progressive Studies. (2015, November 15). *Britain – The “awkward” partner*. The Progressive Post. Retrieved from FEPS website.
- Harvey, D. (2021). Brexit and COVID-19. *King's Law Journal*, 32(1), 98–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09615768.2021.1891617>
- McBride, J. (2022, March 11). *How does the European Union work?* Council on Foreign Relations. Retrieved from CFR website.
- Office for Budget Responsibility. (2021, March). *Economic and fiscal outlook*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
- Pylas, P. (2020, January 31). *Britain's EU journey: When De Gaulle said “non” twice*. Associated Press News. Retrieved from AP News website.
- Thal Larsen, P. (2020, September 7). *Brexit brinkmanship revives risk of worst outcome*. Reuters Breakingviews. Retrieved from Reuters website.

Wachowiak, J. (2020, May 28). *Why Boris Johnson won't agree to a Brexit extension*. European Policy Centre. Retrieved from EPC website.

Footnotes

1. The term “Brexit” is a portmanteau of “British” and “exit,” first popularized in 2012–2013 following the model of the term “Grexit” (which referred to a possible Greek exit from the eurozone). It came into common usage as the shorthand for the UK’s withdrawal from the EU. [↵](#)
2. De Gaulle’s 1963 press conference on the UK’s application is famous. He noted that Britain’s link to the United States (including a recent deal to acquire Polaris nuclear missiles from the US) and its distinct economy might hinder European integration. Some commentators see de Gaulle’s veto as prescient, given the UK’s later Euroscepticism ([United Kingdom–European Union relations - Wikipedia](#)) ([Britain's EU Journey: When De Gaulle said 'non' twice | AP News](#)). [↵](#)
3. Harold Macmillan’s diary entry after the 1963 veto reflected utter despair at the collapse of his policy to join the EEC ([Britain's EU Journey: When De Gaulle said 'non' twice | AP News](#)). This quote is often cited to illustrate how central European policy was even to mid-20th-century British governments, and how personally leaders took these setbacks. [↵](#)

"المملكة المتحدة والاتحاد الأوروبي: رؤى تحليلية في التأثيرات الداخلية والخارجية لبريكست"

إعداد الباحثة:

د. سميرة فايز

الملخص:

يُعدّ بريكست – انسحاب المملكة المتحدة من الاتحاد الأوروبي – من أبرز الأحداث التحولية في التاريخ السياسي المعاصر لكل من بريطانيا وأوروبا، بما يحمله من تداعيات عميقة على الحوكمة والاقتصاد والسياسة الخارجية والتكامل الإقليمي. تقدم هذه المقالة دراسة تحليلية معمقة حول التأثيرات المتعددة الأبعاد لبريكست، حيث توسّع هيكل الرسالة الأصلية إلى تحليل شامل يمتد على ثلاثين صفحة، وفق منهجية أكاديمية صارمة.

يتناول البحث المسار التاريخي والديناميكيات المؤسسية للعلاقة بين المملكة المتحدة والاتحاد الأوروبي، متتبّعاً الدور البريطاني المتغير ضمن المشروع الأوروبي منذ الانضمام في عام 1973 وحتى الانسحاب في عام 2020. وتُعطي عناية خاصة للأسس الأيديولوجية والاقتصادية والقانونية للتكامل الأوروبي، إضافة إلى التوترات المستمرة بين "الاستثنائية البريطانية" والنزعة فوق القومية في الاتحاد الأوروبي.

تحلل الدراسة التحولات السياسية الداخلية التي أدت إلى بريكست، بما في ذلك تنامي النزعة المشككة في أوروبا، وتساعد الشعبية، والنقاشات حول السيادة والهوية. ويتم التركيز بشكل خاص على قيادة رئيس الوزراء بوريس جونسون واستراتيجية التفاوض التي تبناها،

حيث أبرزت الدراسة كيف أن أسلوبه الحازم وتصويره لبريكست كـ "استعادة للسيطرة الوطنية" قد أثرًا على الرأي العام ونتائج السياسات. بخلاف أسلافه، استخدم جونسون أدوات دستورية ورسائل سياسية لتمرير "الانسحاب الصعب"، الذي توجّ باتفاق التجارة والتعاون في ديسمبر 2020. ويُقارن هذا النهج مع سياسات ديفيد كاميرون وتيريزا ماي، في ظل تغير الحسابات السياسية خلال مراحل بريكست المختلفة.

يتناول البحث كذلك الأزمة المزدوجة التي شكلها بريكست وجائحة كوفيد-19، حيث تزامن الحدثان زمنيًا واستراتيجيًا، مما زاد من تعقيد الاضطرابات الاقتصادية واللوجستية التي أحدثها بريكست. وتستعرض الدراسة تأثير الجائحة على سلاسل الإمداد، والتنقل العمالي، وتنفيذ سياسات التجارة، وثقة الجمهور بالمؤسسات، مما أدى إلى تضخيم أو تشويش بعض جوانب تداعيات بريكست.

تتمثل إشكالية البحث في تعقيد واتساع نطاق بريكست، وما يطرحه من تساؤلات وتحديات متعددة. وقد بُني البحث على فصلين رئيسيين:

- الفصل الأول يقدم أساسًا نظريًا وسياقيًا من خلال دراسة المحطات التاريخية، والأطر المؤسسية، والمنطق الدستوري للعضوية في الاتحاد الأوروبي والخروج منه.
- أما الفصل الثاني فيقدم تحليلًا تجريبيًا لتبعات بريكست على عدة مستويات. داخليًا، يدرس أداء الاقتصاد البريطاني، والتغيرات في المالية العامة، وتعديلات سوق العمل، وسياسات الهجرة، والاستقطاب السياسي، والتوترات الدستورية بين دول المملكة المتحدة الأربع. وخارجيًا، يقيم استجابة الاتحاد الأوروبي، وإعادة تموضعه الجيوسياسي، وتعديلاته في سياسات التعاون الأمني والتجاري.

تكشف النتائج أن بريكست لم يحدث فقط تحولاً في البنية الدستورية والاقتصادية للمملكة المتحدة، بل أدى أيضًا إلى تراجع نفوذها العالمي واضطراب علاقاتها الإقليمية القائمة. في المقابل، دفع الاتحاد الأوروبي إلى إعادة النظر في تماسكه المؤسسي واستراتيجيته المستقبلية للتكامل. وتختتم الدراسة بمراجعة إشكالية البحث وأسئلته، مؤكدة الفرضية بأن تأثيرات بريكست واسعة النطاق، طويلة الأمد، ومتجذرة بعمق في السياسة الداخلية والعلاقات الدولية.

وتُختتم المقالة بتقديم توصيات مستقبلية لتحسين العلاقات بين المملكة المتحدة والاتحاد الأوروبي، والحد من المخاطر المستمرة، وتعزيز مرونة المؤسسات في أوروبا ما بعد بريكست. وتستند جميع النتائج والتحليلات إلى أدبيات أكاديمية حديثة، وتقارير رسمية، وتحليلات سياسية، تم توثيقها وفقًا لأسلوب APA. ومن خلال معالجة منهجية نقدية، تقدم هذه المقالة إسهامًا معرفيًا مهمًا في فهم إرث بريكست المستمر.